

211 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1714-2023

Date of Decision:01.03.2023

Pawandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

In compliance of the last order, both the petitioners are present in person.

Learned State counsel has informed the Court that respondents No.4 and 5 are duly informed by the police, however, they were not willing to appear before the Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 16.02.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala (Gurdaspur) and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 16.02.2023 (Annexure P-3).

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala (Gurdaspur) is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and

after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

01.03.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No