

337

2023:PHHC:109263

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18451-1997

Date of decision: 22.08.2023

Azad Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arvinder Singh Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 17.11.1997, vide which, the petitioner was transferred in public interest. Challenge has also been made to the order dated 01.12.1997 vide which the petitioner has been relieved.
2. Learned State Counsel has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner would have retired and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although he has not been able to get in touch with the petitioner but submits that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous and liberty may kindly be granted to the petitioner to revive the present writ petition in case any cause survives to him.
4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
5. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to him.

22.08.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No