

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(253)

CWP-4889-2022**Date of decision: - 23.11.2023****Simranjit Kaur****....Petitioner****Versus****Baba Farid University of Health Science and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Ms. Harmeet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to issue the Detail Marks Sheets of the petitioner for the session of September/October, 2009.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) and the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent No.1-University considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1-University has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-University would consider the said legal notice dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-4), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-University to consider the legal notice dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case the competent authority of respondent No.1-University is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-University is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-University would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

November 23, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No