

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4336 of 2019 (O&M)

Reserved on:10.05.2023

Date of Decision.26.05.2023

Joginder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J.

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the action of respondent whereby petitioner had not been called for interview, which was slated for 22.02.2019.

2. The petitioner had applied for the post of Accountant in pursuance to Advertisement No.7/2015 under Category No.18. The essential qualifications were duly mentioned in the advertisement. The petitioner undertook a written examination and it is pleaded that he secured 142 marks by answering 71 questions as correct but his roll number did not find mention in the list of qualified candidates. The petitioner was not called for scrutiny of documents, despite the fact that last candidate got 118 marks. Aggrieved against not being called for interview, despite securing 142 marks i.e. more marks than the last candidate called for interview, petitioner approached this Court.

3. Vide order dated 20.02.2019, a Coordinate Bench of this Court noted the submissions made by counsel appearing for the petitioner and directed that the petitioner be interviewed provisionally. Furthermore, it was noted that in case

petitioner has not secured 142 marks, petition would be dismissed with heavy costs.

4. Result of the petitioner was produced in Court and it was noted in order dated 11.02.2020 that the petitioner had secured 125 marks out of total 245 marks and the last person selected in the General Category had secured 144 marks. On the said very date, counsel appearing for the petitioner sought to withdraw as a counsel and notice was directed to be issued to the petitioner for 01.04.2020 to be present in person or through counsel. The result as produced in the Court was resealed and returned to the State counsel.

5. I have perused pleadings of the case and find that there is no merit in the instant writ petition. The petitioner has secured 124 marks as against the last selected candidate, who secured 144 marks. Petitioner has failed to establish any infirmity in the selection process. Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

May 26, 2023
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No