

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**2023:PHHC:113876
CWP No.3585 of 2023
Date of Decision:31.08.2023**

Bikramjit Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Central Government Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 1 to renew his passport.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that petitioner on account of pendency of trial has moved an application before the trial court seeking permission to get renewed his passport, thus, she may be permitted to withdraw present petition with liberty to approach trial court. The application has been filed in terms of Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993.
3. The Centre Government in exercise of power conferred by Section 22 of the Passport Act, 1976, has issued Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993 whereby it has been provided that despite pendency of criminal

trial, an applicant may be granted passport subject to permission by trial court.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.08.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: Yes/No