

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-8890-2023

Date of Decision.:23.03.2023

Aman @ Pakaodi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Reshabh Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.414 dated 30.10.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452 & 506 of IPC, 1860 (Section 326 of IPC, 1860 & 25 of Arms Act were added later on) registered at Police Station City Sector-14., District Panchkula.

Allegations are that on 29.10.2022 petitioner along with Vikas alias Bhand and 5-10 boys caused injuries with different weapons to Gaurav, his maternal aunt Kusum and sister Radha. The injury attributed to the petitioner is to have been caused on the hand of Gaurav with a small knife.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated; that all the co-accused have already been granted bail and trial may take long time to conclude.

Status report filed today by way of affidavit of Shri Surender Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Zone II), Panchkula on behalf of respondent-State wherein, it is pointed out that petitioner has

CRM-M-8890-2023

-2-

criminal antecedents and he is facing trial in other cases of similar nature.

It is further pointed out that knife with which injury on the abdomen of Gaurav was caused also recovered from the house of the petitioner.

In reply thereto, it is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that though recovery of knife has been shown to be effected from the house of the petitioner but the injury in the abdomen is specifically attributed to accused Vikas alias Bhand and not to the petitioner.

As per the custody certificate, petitioner is in custody for the last 3 months and 27 days. Challan has already been filed qua the petitioner. Trial may take time to conclude.

Having regard the role attributed to the petitioner and the aforesaid facts and circumstances but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

March 23, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No