

**(240) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9324-2022
Date of decision : 13.01.2023

JATINDER SINGH @ VICKY & OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.259 dated 07.09.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 307, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 28.09.2020 (Annexure P-3), arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 04.03.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the aforesaid compromise.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this aforesaid regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 04.03.2022, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur and as per the report dated 06.10.2022 submitted to this Court

by the learned Magistrate, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

In the present case, undoubtedly, the FIR has been registered under Section 307 IPC and therefore, the question would be as to whether the FIR could be quashed.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and Others vs State of Punjab and Another, 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, and State of Madhya Pradesh vs Laxmi Narayan and Others, 2019(2) RCR (Criminal) 255***, have categorized those cases where quashing on the basis of compromise was permitted and those in which, it was not permitted. It was further observed that the Court concerned may look into the medical evidence and examine the same to see as to whether the conviction under Section 307 of the IPC was possible or not.

Thus, mere registration of an FIR under Section 307 did not foreclose the right of the petitioner/accused to effect a compromise and get the FIR quashed.

In the present case, as is apparent, it is a case of no injury. Hence, with a view to put a quietus to the incident between the parties, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the proceedings on the basis of compromise. Even as per the FIR, no injury has been caused by the petitioners to the complainant and the possibility of a conviction being recorded under Section 307 IPC is extremely unlikely.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.259 dated 07.09.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 307, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of a compromise dated 28.09.2020 (Annexure P-3).

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

13.01.2023

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No