

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-4579-2019
Date of decision: 18.08.2023

MANJU BALA
...Petitioner
Versus
THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Akshay K. Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since the term of the requisitioning order appertaining to the writ premises, as is enclosed in Annexure P-6, has already elapsed, therefore the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and, is granted leave to withdraw the instant writ petition, the same, for the above reason becoming rendered infructuous.
2. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
3. However, if the writ premises are required for subserving any public purpose, thus they be lawfully put to re-requisition hence, by the Authority concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.08.2023	(KULDEEP TIWARI)
Ithlesh	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No