

297

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8860-2023

Date of Decision:24.05.2023

JASPREET KAUR @ DIYA AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

*Versus***STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. APS Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurdeep Raj.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., on the basis of compromise, are seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated 05.11.2013 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 452, 506, 427, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Daresi, District Ludhiana and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that police after completing investigation filed cancellation report and complainant filed protest petition which was treated as complaint and accordingly Trial Court proceeded against the petitioners.

3. The complaint bearing No.COMI/286/2014 dated 03.04.2014 titled as “Kamal Kumar Sharma Vs. Pritam Singh” and

subsequent proceedings stand quashed vide order dated 19.05.2023 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-9461-2023.

4. In view of filing of cancellation report by Investigating Agency and quashing of complaint, which arose out of protest petition, nothing survives.

5. Accordingly, present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

6. The petitioners are at liberty to move an appropriate application, if something survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.05.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>