

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP-3356-2023

Date of decision: - 17.02.2023

Gulab Saini @ Gulab and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ved Parkash, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, with a prayer for staying the operation of impugned ex-parte order dated 24.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3/Collector, Sub-Division, Safidon (Civil) and warrant of possession dated 30.12.2021 (Annexure P-2) issued in the execution of the ex-parte order dated 24.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) by the Assistant Collector 1st Grade-cum-SDM (Civil), Safidon.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that against the order passed by the Assistant Collector 1st Grade, three separate appeals have been instituted i.e. EA No.15223 dated 09.02.2022, EA No.15346 of 26.04.2022 and EA No.15343 dated 26.04.2022 and the said

cases are now pending for 14.03.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, the petitioners would be limiting his prayer only for seeking direction to respondent No.2, who is the appellate authority and before whom the said three appeals are pending, to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has submitted that every effort would be made by respondent No.2 to decide the said appeals as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said three appeals as expeditiously as possibly.

February 17, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No