

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-8655-2023

Date of decision: 22.03.2023

Parshotam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Naveen Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite directions issued by this Court vide order dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) wherein respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur had been asked to look into his representation dated 20.09.2022, the official respondents had failed to initiate any action against the private respondents. He thus, prays that his representation dated 20.09.2022 be looked into by some senior police officer.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Learned State counsel on instructions has apprised this Court that subsequent to the passing of order dated 11.11.2022 by this Court, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Batala had looked into the representation and also carried out enquiry, however, no substance was found in the allegations levelled in the representation, as a result of which it had been ordered to be filed.

In the wake of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to avail of alternative remedies as may be available to the petitioner under the provisions of law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

22.03.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No