

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.1575 of 1992

Date of Decision: 16.02.2023

Smt. Birmati & others

....Appellants

Versus

Sahir & others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. R.C.Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

None has put in appearance in the Court today to represent the appellants.

On 14.02.2023, this Court had passed the following order in

IOIN-FAO No.1575 of 1992:-

“As reported by the Registry, notices issued to appellants No.1 and 3 have been received back with the report that they have passed away whereas appellant No.2 has been served. However, none has appeared in the Court today to represent appellant No.2 or for being impleaded as the legal representative of appellants No.1 and 3.

Registry has further reported that the notice issued to appellant No.4 has been received back with the report that she is residing at her in-laws' place. However, her latest correct address has not been disclosed in the report as made on the notice.

It is worth-while to mention here that as per order dated 13.01.2023, notice issued to learned counsel for the appellants had been received back with the report that the name of the Advocate was not available in the Bar Directory.

In view of the above-discussed scenario, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal in hand for want of prosecution.

IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

Let the main appeal be listed for hearing on 16.02.2023.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

In view the afore-discussed facts and circumstances, the present appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

16.02.2023

seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>