

115.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3347-2023

Date of Decision: 17.02.2023

VIJENDER SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the revised Pension Payment Order dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-2), wherein the qualifying service of the petitioner for retiral benefits has been counted from the date of CPF deduction i.e. 01.07.1992 and not from the date of joining i.e. 30.07.1991.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer to the extent that the respondents be directed to treat the instant writ petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and the same be decided expeditiously in a time bound manner, in the light of the judgment rendered in CWP No.5897 of 2003, titled *Prem Chand Tagla and others Versus State of Haryana and others*, which was decided along with other writ petitions on 09.01.2019.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice for the official respondents and submits that the official respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision accordingly.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to treat the instant writ petition as a representation of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law also considering the judgment passed in CWP No.5897 of 2003, dated 09.01.2019 and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

17.02.2023

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No