

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP No.3308 of 2023

Date of decision: 17.02.2023

BHUPENDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER, HARYANA AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH , J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to consider his application dated 30.03.2017 submitted by the petitioner before the respondent No.2 for the issuance of stage carriage permit on the route No.209 i.e Rohtak to Bhiwani.

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the aforesaid application submitted by the petitioner, the needful has not been done by the respondent No.2 rather the respondent No.2 has started issuing letter of intent to similarly situated persons.

Precisely for the grievance in question, the petitioner

has also filed representation dated 05.07.2022 supplemented by legal notice dated 01.01.2023.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representation followed by legal notice dated 01.01.2023 is considered and decided by the Competent Authority-respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the nature of prayer made by the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 01.01.2023 in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

17.02.2023
P.Bhatt

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No