

229
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A No. 872-MA of 2016
Date of Decision: 14.11.2023

Jagdish Kumar
Versus
State of Punjab and others
-Appellant
-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellant.
Mr. Ravinder Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the applicant-appellant submits that leave to appeal filed by the State is also listed for today and he would be satisfied if he is permitted to assist/represent as appellant No.2 in the said appeal and permitted to withdraw the present leave to appeal.

2. On the other hand, counsel for the accused submits that the appellant has no locus to file the present leave to appeal as he does not fall in the definition of victim as he is only an informant.

3. Given the submission of the State counsel as well as counsel for the applicant, the present leave to appeal is disposed of and applicant is permitted to assist the State in the appeal filed by the State of Punjab and he is arrayed as appellant No.2 in the said appeal. He is also permitted to argue the matter separately at the time of final hearing.

All pending applications, if any shall also stands disposed of.

14.11.2023
Jyoti Sharma

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No