

RAJ KUMARI **VERSUS** **...APPELLANT**
PANKAJ KUMAR SHARMA **...RESPONDENT**

Mr. Ankur Pandey, Advocate for the respondent.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Appellant has assailed the judgment dated 29.03.2017 passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri vide which the respondent has been acquitted.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent has died on 04.01.2020 and the present application under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure for Special leave to appeal has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant has joined the proceedings through video conferencing and has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects as asserted by the learned counsel for the respondent.
4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

14.11.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No