

2023:PHHC:045310

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3329-2023

Date of decision: 27.03.2023

Seema

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 12.12.2022 (Annexure P-8) with regard to extending the deputation period of the petitioner.

This Court, on 17.02.2023, issued notice of motion.

Today, learned State counsel seeks time to file the reply.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised her grievance before respondent No.2 by way of filing a representation dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration and that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

27.03.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No