

CRM-M-8947-2022 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101+208

CRM-M-8947-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.04.2023

Lalit Arora @ Shyama Charan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. AG, Punjab

Mr. Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate
for the complainant

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**CRM-18593-2023**

Application for placing on record Annexures P-4 & P-5 is
allowed. The same is taken on record subject to just exceptions. Registry
is directed to tag at appropriate place.

CRM stands disposed of.

CRM-M-8947-2022

1. On 29.03.2022, the following order was passed:-

*“Instant petition has been filed under Section
438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant
of anticipatory bail in FIR No.19 dated 29.01.2022,
registered for offences under Sections 406 and 498-A
of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station
Women, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana
(Annexure P-1).*

Counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a possibility of settlement between the parties and in order to show his bona fide, the petitioner, who is the husband of the complainant, is ready to pay a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- to the complainant-respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and Mr. Gourav Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate with Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of the State respondent No.1 and respondent No.2 respectively. Counsel for the complainant has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

Counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 has also instructions to state that possibility of settlement can be explored.

Let the petitioner pay Rs.2,00,000/- to the complainant respondent No.2 before the next date.

List on 04.05.2022.

In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed till then.”

2. By order dated 15.09.2022 of this Court, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

3. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

4. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim protection granted to the petitioner vide order

dated 29.03.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

5. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

26.04.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>