

**CRM-7821-2016 in/and
CRM-A-468-MA-2016**

**2023:PHHC:111424
-1-**

233-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-7821-2016 in/and
CRM-A-468-MA-2016
Decided on: 24.08.2023**

Munish Kumar Dhir

... Applicant

Versus

M/s Heena International and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: None for the applicant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present two applications have been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 47 days in filing the appeal and under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C. seeking special leave to appeal against the impugned order dated 24.09.2015 passed by the learned JMIC, Ludhiana.

2. None has caused appearance on behalf of the applicant. The same was position on the last four dates wherein nobody had appeared on behalf of the applicant.

3. On the last date of hearing, rather this Court had directed the Registry to inform the learned counsel for the applicants with regard to the next date fixed.

4. As per the report of the Registry, the learned counsel for the applicant has been informed through telephonically but again nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the applicant.

**CRM-7821-2016 in/and
CRM-A-468-MA-2016**

**2023:PHHC:111424
-2-**

5. In view of the above, it appears that the applicant is no longer interested in pursuing the present applications under Section 5 of the Limitation Act and under Section 378(4) of the Cr.P.C. for leave to appeal.
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

24.08.2023
Bhumika

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |