

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(323) CWP-1809-1996
Date of decision:- 07.12.2023.

M.L. Singla and anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present : Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

1. The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to promote/give current duty charge in the rank of SDOs to the petitioners, from the date their juniors have been given the same benefit.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that during the pendency of the petition, petitioner No.1 was promoted to the post of SDO in Diploma Holder category on 23.12.2005, and retired as such on 30.06.2008; while petitioner No.2 retired as Junior Engineer on 31.01.2005. Therefore, no cause of action survives to the petitioners, at this stage.
3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, it is apparent that the petition has been rendered infructuous, and is disposed of as such.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

7th December, 2023
spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No