

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3755-2023

Date of decision : 17.05.2023

Saurabh Katyal

... Petitioner

Versus

U. T. Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Pritpal Singh Swaich, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate and
Mr.Lokesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents no.1 and 2-U.T. Chandigarh.Mr.Beant Singh Seemar, Advocate
for respondent no.3.Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Birla, Advocate
for respondents no.7 and 8.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.1 to 6 to delete the name of respondent no.8 as guardian of minor child from the entire school records and other official records of respondents no.1 to 6 and for incorporating the name of the petitioner as natural and biological father of the minor child in the entire school records.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.3 has filed a reply in the Court. The same is taken on record.

order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would not press his prayer for taking action against respondents no.4 to 6 but has submitted that he would press his only prayer for directing respondents no.1 to 6 to incorporate the name of the present petitioner as natural and biological father of the minor child Master Rayansh in the school record. It is further submitted that every endeavour would be made by the petitioner to settle the matrimonial dispute with respondent no.7-wife.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Lokesh Aggarwal, Advocate and Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2.

Adjourned to 18.04.2023.

February 23, 2023.”

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.1 and 2 has submitted that respondents no.1 and 2 have incorporated the name of the present petitioner as natural and biological father of the minor child in the school record and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the stand taken by respondents no.1 and 2, has submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 17, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No