

122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3946-2023

Date of decision : 27.02.2023

Vishvender Attri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Yogeshwar Dayal Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to resolve the grievances i.e. to repair the water pump/tubewell pump of Block-A, Gali No.1, Opposite Shiv Mandir, Bhagat Singh Colony, Ballabgarh, District Faridabad (Haryana) as early as possible and within a time limit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had given a representation dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad in which, the petitioner has detailed his grievance as well as grievance of the residents of the colony who, as per the case of the petitioner, are facing problem of drinking water. In the said representation, it had also been stated that since summer season is approaching thus, in case, timely action is not taken then, severe prejudice

could be caused to the petitioner and other residents of the colony.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would limit his relief to direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad to consider and decide the representation dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) as expeditiously as possible preferably within a time frame.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad to consider and decide the representation dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

In case, the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad is of the opinion that the grievance of the petitioner is genuine and remedial action is required to be taken, the same be taken as expeditiously as possible.

It is, however, made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case of the petitioner and the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad would decide the same independently on its merits, in accordance with law.

27.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No