

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

295

**CWP-3801-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 23.01.2023.**

**RAO YADAVENDRA SINGH @ YADAVENDRA SINGH RAO AND
OTHERS**

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Harparteek Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 and 6.

Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to hold the election process in abeyance and to firstly decide the appeal dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-9) filed by the petitioners in accordance with the provisions of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 and the rules framed thereunder.

Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the election has already been held and he would be satisfied at this juncture in case the pending appeal filed by the petitioners before the Registrar General, Firms and Societies, Haryana, is directed to be decided within a time bound manner. He submits that the aforesaid appeal has been filed in February 2022 and a decision thereupon has not been taken despite expiry of a period of nearly 1 year since the date of filing of the said appeal. He submits that the parties have already entered appearance before the State Registrar and the matter is fixed for 23.02.2023 before the Registrar General, Firms and Societies, Haryana.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners further contends that they have also made a request to the respondent-Society to allow the petitioners to inspect the record and to obtain copies thereof in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for respondent-Society submits that he has no objection in allowing the inspection of the record sought by the petitioners. As such request had already been made by the petitioners and that such a direction had already been issued by the District Registrar, however, the Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that despite the said direction, the record is not being allowed to be inspected.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the aforesaid prayer for issuance of directions to the Registrar General, Firms and Societies, Haryana, being accepted and for deciding the appeal in a time bound manner.

Respondent-Society is directed to bring the said record in the office of the Registrar General, Firms and Societies, Haryana, on the date

next fixed so as to enable the petitioners to inspect the same as per law. The State Registrar shall proceed to decide the main appeal either on the date already fixed or within a period of three months of the date next fixed before the State Registrar and decide the present appeal in accordance with law after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Pending misc. application, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

January 23, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No