

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CWP-3912-2019

Date of Decision : 06.07.2023

Surendra Prasad

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Devender Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, Advocate
for the respondent-UI.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of allotment of Company Owned Company Operated Retail Outlet Dealership of the respondent- Chandigarh Industrial & Tourism Development Corporation Ltd. (CITCO).

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents may be directed to consider his application sympathetically, if at any stage, similar applications are invited from

defence officials.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in future if respondents No.2 to 4 invite applications from defence officials directly or indirectly, case of the petitioner would be considered sympathetically.

In view of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.07.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>