

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-3110-2023

Date of decision: - 15.02.2023

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 to send the inquiry report dated 18.02.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2, who may be directed to take appropriate action in accordance with law in pursuance of the complaints made by the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Sube Singh, Under Secretary, Urban Local Bodies, Government to Haryana, has submitted that in the present case, the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Urban Local Bodies, Haryana/respondent No.1, is the competent authority to take action and the said Additional Chief Secretary has entrusted the regular inquiry to the Additional Deputy Commissioner,

Bhiwani, vide order dated 17.10.2022 and the said regular inquiry will be finished as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of two months from today and after concluding the said inquiry, appropriate action would be taken by respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on account of the said statement made by learned State counsel, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous, at this stage and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, at this stage.

The respondent-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

February 15, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No