

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7974 of 2023
Date of decision: 3rd May, 2023

Paramvir Singh @ Paramjit @ Pamma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.130 dated 13.07.2018, under Section 61 of Excise Act, 1914 and Section 22, 61 of NDPS Act. 1985, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

Vide order dated 15.02.2023, the petitioner had been granted concession of interim bail in the following terms:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 03.12.2021, due to non appearance of the petitioner, who was released from jail, his bailbonds and surety bonds were cancelled; forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through non bailable warrants for different dates and on 10.01.2023 was again summoned through non bailable warrants for 22.02.2023. Learned counsel further submits that he is ready to join investigation.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has not been nominated as an accused in the FIR in question, and thus, would not be required for investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 15.02.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 3, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No