

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127) CWP-3315-2023
Decided on : 17.04.2023

Surjeet SinghPetitioner(s)
Versus
Bank of Maharashtra & othersRespondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Ms.Shalini, Advocate, for
Mr.Mukesh Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Gaurav Goel, Advocate, for respondent-Bank.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is to the securitization proceedings.

A perusal of the paperbook would go on to show that petitioner has already filed securitization application before the Tribunal wherein challenge has been raised to the legality of the notices which were appended as Annexure A-4, which would be clear from the securitization proceedings which have now been appended as Annexure P-2. In such circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that two parallel sets of litigation cannot be permitted to continue.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed with liberty to continue with the proceedings before the Tribunal.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

17.04.2023
Sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No