

235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3830 of 2019
Date of Decision : 04.09.2023

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun William, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notices dated 08.10.2018 (Annexure P-2) and 22.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) issued by respondents No.3 & 5 for making an enquiry into the works done by the Panchayat during their tenure of 2013 to 2018 without any complaint, as the said notices are in violation of Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act.

Notice in this case was issued on 14.02.2019. In response to which, the State has filed the reply.

Learned State counsel has drawn attention of this Court to the reply filed and has argued that the impugned notices were issued on 08.10.2018 and 22.01.2019, which are placed on record as Annexures P-2 and P-3. He submits that on perusal of the same, the record was sent back and thus, this petition is premature as no decision was taken on the notices.

However, learned State counsel as per the instructions received from Dilbagh Singh, BDPO, has submitted that no action is proposed in pursuance to the impugned notices by the State and thus, the present petition be disposed of as infructuous.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the State, the present petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.09.2023
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No