

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237-2

CRM-M-8451-2022

Date of Decision: 31.01.2023

Balbir Singh @ Bittu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Pratula Sethi, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner submits that considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for last 2 ½ years, he would be contended and satisfied if the trial is expedited.

Prayer being genuine is accepted.

Given above, the present petition is partly allowed, The trial Court to make efforts to complete the trial and pronounce the judgment on or before 30.04.2023.

Given above, the present petition is partly allowed, The trial Court to make efforts to complete the trial and pronounce the judgment on or before 30.04.2023. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

It is clarified that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment before the trial Court, in case he does so, this order stands recalled under Section 362 read with 482 CrPC without any further reference to this Court. It is further clarified that in case, the trial is not completed by 30.04.2023, the petitioner is permitted to file a fresh petition for bail before this Court and on that ground alone, this Court might consider to grant bail to the petitioner.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

31.01.2023

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no