

CRM-M-8443-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(210/3)

CRM-M-8443-2022

Date of Decision:-12.01.2023.

Atul Mehra

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate for the complainant.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.1002 dated 28.12.2021, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 354-B, 379-B, 427, 458, 506 of IPC (in which Section 379-B of IPC is deleted) and Sections 3(1) (r), 3(1)(s), 3 (1)(w)(i), 3 (1) (w) (ii), 3 (2) (v), 3(2) (va) of SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station City Karnal.

Vide order dated 28.02.2022, this Court had directed that no coercive action be taken against the petitioner.

Vide order dated 05.07.2022, the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Karnal, for settling the dispute amicably. However, counsel for the petitioner as well as for complainant have jointly submitted that the matter was amicably settled between the

CRM-M-8443-2022

parties outside the Mediation and Conciliation Centre and hence, nothing survives in this petition.

Compromise dated 31.10.2022 effected between the parties is taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that they are in the process of filing of petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise which shall be entertained in accordance with law.

In view of above, the petition is allowed. The order dated 28.02.2022 granting interim relief to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency if so, and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

January 12, 2023.

Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No