

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**109**

**CRM-M-10533-2023**

**Date of Decision: February 28, 2023**

**Gursev Singh and others**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Vs.**

**Central Bureau of Investigation and others**

**.....Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Standing Counsel for CBI.

----

**ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)**

Seeking fair investigation of the representations filed by the petitioners, the petitioners have come up before this court.

2. Notices are served upon the official respondents through the counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

3. Counsel for the respondent-CBI strenuously opposed the maintainability of the present petition on the ground that the petitioner lack *locus*. Further, he submits that they want investigation in unrelated areas.

4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that they would be contented and satisfied in case they are permitted to file a representation (jointly or separately) to the concerned officer and he/she shall decide the same in time bound manner.

5. Given above, in case petitioner(s) files a representation within 15 days from today alongwith supported documents including notarised affidavit, if any, they want to file to the concerned Superintendent of Police, in that case, the concerned Superintendent of Police either himself/herself decide the same or by authorizing and delegating it to the concerned Additional Superintendent of Police, shall decide the same within two months from the date of its filing. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists without delay. If no representation is filed within stipulated time period, this order shall eclipse automatically.

6. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.

\*\*\*\*\*

7. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

8. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

**Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above.** All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 28, 2023**  
sonia arora

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | No     |