

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(224)

CWP No. 3949 of 2019

Date of Decision : 07.12.2023

Ram Kishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner be allowed to continue in service till the regularly selected candidates join or till the work of the post on which the petitioner is working, still exists with the respondents. Learned counsel submits that while passing the interim order, the petitioner was allowed to continue in service, under which order the petitioner is continuing in service as of now.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner is continuing in service, he will be allowed to continue to discharge the duties till the work of the post being discharged by the petitioner exists or the regularly selected candidates join, whichever is earlier. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case,

the services of the petitioner are not required, appropriate order will be passed giving the detailed reasons so that petitioner can avail appropriate remedy in case he is aggrieved.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

December 07th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No