

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRR-362-2022

Date of Decision: 25.09.2023

Shilpa

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Ms. Prarthana, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Chhoker, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No. 2 is taken on record.

By way of filing the present revision petition, the petitioner/ complainant assails the order dated 13.10.2021, vide which the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, dismissed the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C., filed by the petitioner for summoning respondent No. 2-Anju, as an additional accused.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant revision petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such as, the main case has already been disposed of by the learned trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

25.09.2023
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No