

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8565-2022

Decided on: 10.01.2023

Surinder Kumar Tanwar alias Surinder Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

As per the report of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, the complainant-respondent no.2 did not appear before it for recording the statement.

The petitioner is unrepresented.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court in case any fresh compromise is arrived at between the parties. It is further clarified that if fresh petition is filed, dismissal of the present petition shall not come in the way of the petitioner.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

January 10, 2023
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.