

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-12815-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-2887-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.08.2023

AARIF KHAN

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

...

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-12815-CWP-2023

The present application has been filed qua preponing the hearing of the main petition, which now stands adjourned to 04.10.2023.

Notice of this application.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the preponement of the hearing of the present petition.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, coupled with the fact that the respondents are raising no objection.

The application is allowed and the hearing of the main petition is preponed from 04.10.2023 to today.

CWP-2887-2023 (O&M)

the respondent No.2 to consider the petitioner for the post of Staff Nurse, as he has secured 48 marks and he is also entitled for grant of 1 mark of experience and respondent No.2 has already considered the candidates, who have secured 48 marks for the post of Staff Nurse.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been given one mark for the experience which he had upto the last date of filing of the application form while considering his claim for the post of Staff Nurse under BCB category in pursuance to the advertisement No.15/2019. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though notice of motion has already been issued, but as the respondents are not filing the reply, the petitioner is suffering prejudice. Hence, the petitioner will be satisfied in case, the respondents are directed to decide the representation, which the petitioner has filed for claiming the same relief, a copy of which has been appended along with the petition as Annexure P-6 in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the representation dated 22.09.2022, a copy of which is appended as Annexure P-6 is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the appropriate order of the same will be passed by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of the copy of this order and in case, after passing of the speaking order, the petitioner becomes entitled for any relief the same will also be extended to him within further four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

08.08.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No