

207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7690-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.05.2023

SUKHDEV SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 14.02.2023, following order was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 04 dated 07.01.2023 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not a party to the alleged forged partition deed which was executed on 24.12.2010. The petitioner has no concern with the 3 kanals of land allegedly owned by Malkiat Singh, the father of the complainant. Moreover, the complainant has instituted a civil suit against Sher Singh and others. The petitioner has also been arraigned as a defendant in the said civil suit. The agreement/partition deed dated 24.12.2010 has been challenged in the said civil suit and no temporary injunction has been issued in favour of the complainant in that case.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 24.05.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of

the interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manjit Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and further submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

4. Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 14.02.2023 is made absolute.

24.05.2023

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No