

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:066694-DB

(233)

CRWP-1389-2023

Decided on :09.05.2023

Jagjit Singh @ Ladi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962 for grant of parole for a period of 8 weeks to the petitioner.

2. The benefit of parole has not been granted to the petitioner vide order dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure R-2/T) on the ground that while in hospital a mobile phone and its accessories was recovered from him and FIR No.105 dated 06.09.2022 under Section 52-A of the Prison Act was lodged against him at Police Station Sadar Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur. Resultantly, while keeping in mind the said fact it was noticed that his case for parole could not be recommended for one year from the date of jail offence i.e. till 31.08.2023. A perusal of Annexure R-1/T would also go on to show that as per para No.543 & 544 of the Jail Manual, if a person has committed jail offence, his conduct in jail cannot be considered satisfactory for a period of one year.

3. Resultantly, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner's case has only been deferred for a period of one year and his entitlement would

be after 31.08.2023. In such circumstances, we do not find any ground to interfere in the order dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure R-2/T) and to grant benefit of parole.

4. The present petition stands dismissed, accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

09.05.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No