

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRWP-1829-2021 (O&M)  
Decided on : 25.01.2023**

Harjinder Kaur

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gurmeet Kaur and others

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

**PRESENT:** Mr.S. S. Sodhi, Advocate for  
Mr. PPS Duggall, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Kahlon, Advocate  
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,  
for respondent No. 3-State.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

Order dated 17.01.2023 passed by this Court says as under:

*“Prayer in the petition filed under Article 226 of the  
Constitution of India, in the nature of habeas corpus, is for  
issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 & 2, to  
handover the custody of the girl child, namely, Parneet  
Kaur (aged 9 years), who is presently residing with  
petitioner’s husband-Balwinder Singh (respondent No. 2).*

*Learned counsel appearing on behalf of  
respondents No. 1 and 2 submits that in the proceedings  
before the trial Court in case HMA-412-2022 filed under  
Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, it has been decided  
amicably by petitioner and respondent No. 2 that detinue  
child-Parneet Kaur be allowed to stay in the company of  
respondent No.2. Therefore, learned counsel for the  
respondents No. 1 and 2 submits that no cause of action*

*survives with the petitioner as of now, and same deserves to be disposed of being rendered infructuous.*

*Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, to confirm the statement of counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 and in the interest of justice also, matter is adjourned to 25.01.2023.”*

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner confirms the facts as recorded in the previous order dated 17.01.2023 and thus, he seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE**

**25.01.2023**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*