

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(254)

CWP-4513-2021

Date of decision: - 14.07.2023

Paramjeet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 19.01.2021 (Annexure P-21) vide which the representation submitted by the petitioner has been rejected.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, vide letter dated 12.07.2023, issued by the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, names of 24 candidates have been recommended for the post of Male Constable (GD), against Advertisement No.8/2015, Category No.01, which includes the name of the present petitioner also. It is further submitted that appointment letter would also be issued to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, subject to him fulfilling the conditions, which have been mentioned in paras No.3 and 4 of the said letter dated 12.07.2023. It is stated that in

view of the said letter dated 12.07.2023, a copy of which has been produced before this Court during the course of arguments and is taken on record and has been marked as 'Mark A', the present writ petition is rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the said letter dated 12.07.2023 and also the stand taken by learned State counsel, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

4.. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 14, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No