

**2023:PHHC:103260**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(116)**

**CWP-4206-2022**

**Date of Decision : August 09, 2023**

**Mohit Pal Singh Arora**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Punjab National Bank and others**

**.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Saklani, Advocate, for  
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate, for the respondent-Bank.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only ground which has been taken by the respondents to reject the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment is that total monthly income of the petitioner from all sources is Rs.53,771/- which amount is more than the last drawn salary of the employee concerned whereas, the said fact is incorrect, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents to bring to their notice that the basis of rejection of the claim is factually incorrect.

Ordered accordingly.

**August 09, 2023**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether reportable : No