

108 (4 Cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decision: 22.2.2023

1. CRA-S-513-SB-2016 (O&M)

Rahul Garg ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

2. CRA-S-151-SB-2016 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

Capt. Parveen Vij and another ...Respondents

3. CRM-M-25552-2016 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Capt. Parveen Vij and another ...Respondents

4. CRM-M-25573-2016 (O&M)

Rahul Garg and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Capt. Parveen Vij and another ...Respondents

CRA-S-513-SB-2016 (O&M)+ three connected cases :2:

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: Mr.Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant(s)/Petitioners(s)

Mr.J.P.Ratra, Sr.DAG, Punjab

Mr.H.S.Randhawa, Advocate
for complainant

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

CRA-S-513-SB-2016 & CRA-S-151-SB-2016 O&M)

Prayer in the present appeals is for quashing the order dated 16.10.2015 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur vide which the application moved by complainant/respondent for holding enquiry under Section 340 read with Section 195 of the Cr.P.C. has been partly allowed and a complaint under Sections 182/193/196/211 of the IPC has been ordered to be filed against the appellant(s).

Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that the entire matter has been compromised between the appellant(s) and the complainant vide compromise deed dated 5.1.2023 (Annexure A-1) recorded in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre Sangrur. Pursuant to the aforesaid compromise, statements dated 18.1.2023 (Annexures A-2 and A-3) of the parties have been recorded by Additional District Judge, Sangrur.

The factum of compromise arrived at between the parties is not disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant.

In view of the above, the order(s) dated 16.10.2015 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur are hereby quashed, subject

CRA-S-513-SB-2016 (O&M)+ three connected cases :3:

to deposit of Rs.25,000/- as costs by the appellants with the High Court Lawyers' Welfare Fund. These two appeals as also pending application(s), if any, are hereby disposed of accordingly.

CRM-M-25552-2016 & CRM-M-25573-2016 (O&M)

On the basis of aforesaid compromise arrived at between the parties, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) wants to withdraw these two petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

22.2.2023
MFK

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>