

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 110

CWP-3062-2023

Date of decision : 12.07.2023

Mamta

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Keshav Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.Amandeep Vashisth, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner was allegedly sexually harassed. On her complaint a committee was made to go into the allegations made by her. Respondent No.3, who was the District Horticulture Officer and other members of the aforesaid committee allegedly put pressure on the petitioner to withdraw her complaint. The petitioner made a complaint against such pressure being exerted by respondent No.3 and other members of the committee. On her complaint another committee was formed to go into the petitioner's allegations against respondent No.3. However, on the report submitted by such committee no action against respondent No.3 was taken occasioning the filing of the instant petition seeking therein a direction to the State to take action against respondent No.3.

2. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the State has acted against respondent No.3 by departmentally proceeding against him as also by transferring him from his present place of posting.

3. In the light of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Dismissed as infructuous.

12.07.2023
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes / No
Yes / No