

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3290-2023

Date of decision : 17.02.2023

Sunil Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Ishita Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana for respondents no.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.2 to 4 to take appropriate action against respondent no.6 Ex-Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat Chand Samand and also against respondent no.7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners would press their prayer for taking action against respondent no.6 only and not against respondent no.7. It is further submitted that enquiry was initiated by the Block Development & Panchayat Officer (in short "BDPO") but however no progress has been made in the same.

Learned State counsel on instructions has submitted that enquiry before the BDPO is pending and the same be concluded as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the statement of learned State counsel satisfies the petitioners but the State would be bound by the said statement.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction the BDPO to conclude the enquiry pending before it as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

February 17, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No