

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRWP-1754-2022

Date of Decision: August 09, 2023

Sunita Teji

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 8.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members from the hands of private respondents.

2. Case called twice. However, none has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

3. State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, submits that an appropriate action has been taken by the police and as on date, there is no threat perception to the petitioner and her family members. Moreover, this is matrimonial dispute and one FIR has been registered against the petitioner at the instance of private respondent.

4. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 to 8 submits that there is no need to fear from them.

5. Given above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of with liberty to file fresh petition if need so arises. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

August 09, 2023
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No