

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 7929 of 2023
Date of Decision: 31.08.2023

Satnam Singh and others ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.
for the petitioner.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
5	30.04.2021	Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar	7, 7-A of Prevention of Corruption Act as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018 read with Section 120-B IPC

1. Seeking quashing of above captioned FIR, the accused had come up before this Court on the ground that no case is made out against him.
2. State counsel on instructions submits that the investigation is almost complete except voice sample of the petitioners is yet to be taken and it is the petitioners, who are delaying the investigation by not cooperating with the Investigator by not giving their voice samples.
3. Since, the investigation is not complete, the present petition for quashing of FIR is pre-mature. Since, the petitioners’ stand is that the FIR was false and frivolous, then they must cooperate with the Investigator by giving their voice samples. It is clarified that the State to take all steps to ensure that the investigation is completed within three months from the date of giving of voice samples by the petitioners. It means that State should either file a closure report or launch prosecution within aforesaid period of three months.

4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to come to this Court again, in case, State choose to launch prosecution against them. Filing and disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

31.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.