

206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:074303
CRM-M-7371-2023
Date of Decision: May 22, 2023

Monu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Reply in the form of affidavit of Shri Ravi Khundia, Deputy
Superintendent of Police, Jind-Detective, District Jind, has been filed on
behalf of respondent-State.

Para No.10 of the said reply reads as under:-

“10. That during the course of investigation of the case uptill it has been found that apart from mentioning the names in suicide note, no circumstantial or supporting evidence came file against the aforementioned accused on the basis of which they (the petitioner and co-accused) could be prosecuted in the court of law. During the course of investigation of the case no incriminatory evidence came against the petitioner then at present the petitioner is not required in this case, however, the investigation of the case regarding on line money transactions from the account of deceased and result from RFSL, Sunaria shall be conducted as per law and evidence on file. In future if the petitioner is required then he shall be given prior notice for joining investigation of the case.”

In view of the aforesaid reply, order dated 10.02.2023
passed by this Court, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory
bail in case FIR No.24, dated 11.01.2023, registered at Police Station
City Jind, District Jind, under Section 306/34 of IPC, is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall join the investigation if and so required by the Investigating Officer at any stage of the investigation.

Disposed of

May 22, 2023
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No