

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(212)

CRM-M-7300-2022.
Date of Decision:-07.02.2023.

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.457 dated 03.09.2021, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, read with Section 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa.

Vide order dated 25.02.2022, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as complainant/respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that the settlement between the parties has failed and the report from the Mediator has also been received to the said effect.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is

CRM-M-7300-2022

no more required. However, at this stage, counsel for the complainant has submitted that the gold articles are yet to be recovered.

Per contra, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in fact the complainant has already taken away all her belongings, which is evident from Annexure P-5. Counsel for the complainant submits that those photographs are with regard to taking her clothings etc. and the gold is yet to be recovered.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the order dated 25.02.2022 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

February 07, 2023.

Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No