

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12260-2000 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 29.08.2023

BALBIR SINGH AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS
VS
HUDA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate with
Mr. Randhir Bawa, Advocate and
Mr. Samwel Gill, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. ORAL

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 07.09.2000 (Annexure P-11).

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that since the petitioners have been superannuated after completing the tenure of service and after attaining the age of 58 years, thus, the present writ petition has become rendered infructuous.

Although, learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to controvert the aforesaid fact but seeks liberty to claim any other relief to which the petitioners are entitled after retirement from the services.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law with regard to any other legal rights as per the Service Rules to which they are entitled.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

29.08.2023
yogesh

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No