

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M No.6899 of 2023
Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

Shamsher Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.64 dated 29.12.2022 registered at Police Station Women, District Amritsar Commissionerate, under Sections 406 & 498-A IPC.

2. As per the report of the Mediator at Flag 'B', the parties have settled their dispute amicably.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Satpal Singh from the above-referred Police Station, informs the Court that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and he is also not involved in any other criminal case.

4. In view of the afore-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel as well as the fact that vide the order dated 09.02.2023, the Co-ordinate Bench has already granted the interim relief to the petitioner by way of staying his arrest, the present petition is allowed to the effect that the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer.

5. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required/called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

03.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*