

CWP-3117-2020 (O&M) & connected cases -1- 2023:PHHC:162401

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**233 (08 cases) +
234 (01 case)**

**(1) CWP-3117-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision :-18.12.2023**

Jaideep and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(2) CWP-4293-2020 (O&M)

Tarun Kumar and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(3) COCP-2077-2020

Jaideep and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Manish Kumar Bansal

...Respondent

(4) CWP-4918-2020 (O&M)

Deepak Kumar and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(5) CWP-801-2021 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(6) CWP-8896-2021(O&M)

Amit Kumar Singla ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(7) CWP-7091-2020 (O&M)

Amit Kumar Singla ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(8) CWP-24517-2019 (O&M)

Rajesh Kumar and others ..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

234

(9) CWP-3595-2020 (O&M)

Suman Verma and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-3117,4293-2020 & COCP-2077-2020
CWP-24517-2019 & CWP-3595-2020.

Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-4918-2020, CWP-801-2021,CWP-8896-2021
CWP-7091-2020.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that keeping in view the fact that the petitioners were recruited through private outsourcing agency and nothing has come on record that the department had given any appointment to the petitioners coupled with the settled principle of law settled by this Court in **CWP-12274-2020 titled as Kumar Saurabh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 30.09.2020**, according to which, an employee, who has been recruited through a private contractor is not entitled to file a writ petition for the relief which has been sought against the contractor, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to avail an appropriate remedy before the Civil Court.

Ordered accordingly.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any is also disposed
of.

Copy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

December 18, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No